AD		

Award Number: DAMD17-98-1-8519

TITLE: Do Capacitively Coupled Electric Fields Accelerate Tibial

Stress Fracture Healing

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Andrew Hoffman, M.D.

Belinda Beck, Ph.D.

Gordon Matheson, M.D., Ph.D.

Gabrielle Bergman, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Stanford University

Stanford, California 94305-5401

REPORT DATE: October 2002

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command

Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;

Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 074-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of

Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Proje	ct (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503					
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)				D DATES COVERED		
	October 2002	Annual	(15 Sep	01 - 14 Se		
A. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Do Capacitively Coup Accelerate Tibial St				5. FUNDING N DAMD17-98-		
6. AUTHOR(S) Andrew Hoffman, M.D. Belinda Beck, Ph.D. Gordon Matheson, M.D., P	h.D.					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAM Stanford University	ME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING REPORT NUI	G ORGANIZATION MBER	
Stanford oniversity Stanford, California E-Mail: b.beck@mailbox.						
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGE	NCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			NG / MONITORING EPORT NUMBER	
U.S. Army Medical Resear Fort Detrick, Maryland		mand				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		, ,				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY S Approved for Public Rele		nlimited			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words A convenience sample ba Medicine Clinics will b have been treated. The stimulation accelerates effects. Only posterome stress fractures will b (radiographs, bone scan double blind fashion us a sinusoidal wave of 3- primarily at night, and longer than 6 months.	sed on availability of e selected over 2-3 study is designed to the healing of tibidial mid to distal the investigated. Four, MRI, and CT). All ing active or passive 6 V, 60 KHz, 5-10 mA other standardized	years unti be able t al stress hird and p imaging a subjects w e electric , wearing rehabilita	of forty to determ fracture fracture from the interest of the control of the cont	subjects (mine if ele e and wheth medical ti es will be identically stimulator ts for 15-2 eatments, u	20 male, 20 female) ctric field er there are gender bial condylar used at diagnosis treated in a devices that apply to hours per day, antil healed and not	

14. SUBJECT TERMS stress fracture, MRI, bone			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 5 16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unlimited

is no longer painful. Only MRI will be used for follow-up studies. A grading system will be developed for each of the diagnostic methods and compared to the ability of the MRI

grading system to predict time to recovery.

Table of Contents

Cover1
SF 2982
Introduction4
Body4
Key Research Accomplishments5
Reportable Outcomes5
Conclusions5
References5
Appendices5

INTRODUCTION

This placebo-controlled study is designed to determine if electric field stimulation will accelerate the healing of tibial stress fractures. Additionally a stress fracture severity grading system is to be developed for four different diagnostic imaging techniques (plain films, nuclear medicine scans, MRI and CT). The purpose of the imaging study is to determine the most cost effective approach to tibial stress fracture diagnosis and the most effective technique to predict time to healing. Twenty male and 20 female subjects will be recruited in order to discriminate gender effects. All subjects are treated identically in a double blind fashion using active or inactive electric field stimulator devices that apply a sinusoidal wave of 3-6 V, 60 KHz, 5-10 mA. Subjects wear the units for 15-20 hrs/day until healed, with a maximum treatment time of 6 months. Subjects are considered healed when hopping on the affected limb is no longer painful.

BODY

Research accomplishments associated with tasks in approved Statement of Work

Preliminary activities to set up study in Australia:

Activity	Status
Establish imaging protocols and costing with South Coast	Complete
Radiology	
• Liaise with Biolectron Inc. (now EBI) to coordinate ongoing	Complete
supply of coded placebo and active OrthoPak units	
Submit Human Use documentation to Griffith University	Complete
Human Subjects Ethics Committee	
Recruit and train research assistant	Complete
Meet and discuss protocol with Sports Medicine Clinic	Complete
physicians and other contact associations for recruiting	
Retrieve study devices, supplies, files and documentation	Complete
from Stanford University	

When the decision was made to subcontract the study to Griffith University from Stanford University in 2000, a Revised Statement of Work was submitted to the USAMRMC. The relevant 2001 and 2002 activities in the Revised Statement of Work included:

- 1. Interact with referring Sports Medicine Clinicians and Radiologists to maintain procedures and provide feedback
- 2. Consolidate recruiting base
- 3. Recruit ~ 21 subjects (over the 2001-2002 period)
- 4. Collect data, including: subject consenting, evaluation, consultation and data collection (Food Frequency and Activity History Questionnaires), radiology appointment making, OrthoPak training, monitoring
- 5. Prepare interim reports

Problems in accomplishing timeline tasks

The move of the Primary Investigator (Beck) to Griffith University, Australia prompted a Protocol re-review by USA HSRRB. This was only made apparent to the study PIs after the Protocol had been reviewed and approved by the Griffith University Human Ethics Committee and data collection had been initiated and completed on 5 subjects in Australia. Recruitment was then suspended while the USA HSRRB process ran its course. All USA HSRRB requirements have now been met and documentation revisions are currently under review by the Griffith University and Stanford University IRBs. See following excerpt of recent (October 1, 2002) email from Maryann F. Pranulis, RN, DNSc, Human Subjects Protection Scientist AMDEX:

"Dr. Beck,

Thank you for sending the revised consent document. It appears to meet all regulatory requirements. When you send the documentation of the GU and Stanford IRB approvals, send the copy of the consent document that they approve. This is the last step in the HSRRB approval of the amendments and the approval memo will be forwarded to the USAMRAA office where the official approval will be issued. You will receive a copy of that memo.

After you receive the official approval notification from USAMRAA, you will be able to resume recruiting and enrolling subjects."

As the process of re-review has been very protracted, our timeline goal of the collection of 21 more data sets in the time period 2001-2002 has not been possible. We are poised to re-initiate data collection immediately all IRB re-approvals are forthcoming.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Data collection on 14 subjects in total has been completed (9 at Stanford University and 5 at Griffith University)
- Subject data remains blinded from investigators until the end of the study
- Review of imaging and grade scale development will occur by three independent radiologists upon completion of full data set

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

No reportable outcomes to date (data is blinded)

CONCLUSIONS

• No reportable conclusions to date

REFERENCES

NA

APPENDICES

NA